(SRI H. S. RUDRAPPA.)

(*a*) whether the Hanumantha Deity of Malligenahalli village, Honnali Taluk, is a defunct institution ;

(*b*) if so, from how long ;

(*c*) the reasons for declaring this deity as defunct ;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that the villagers have renovated the deity and the temple ; if so, when ;

(*e*) how many times the villagers have approached the authorities to restore the institution ;

(*f*) what is the yearly income to this institution ;

(*g*) how this income is utilised after this institution was declared defunct ;

(*h*) whether it is a fact that the villagers have made arrangements for the worship of the deity ?

*A.*—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) From June 1933.

(*c*) There was no temple building and the puja was not being performed to the deity.

(*d*) Yes, the exact date of renovation is not known.

(*e*) There has been no such representation.

(*f*) About Rs. 593.

(*g*) The lands endowed to the institution are being leased out once in five years. The amount so realised each year is being credited to the General Muzrai Funds as per rules.

(*h*) Yes.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—According to the answer it is seen that the temple has been renovated and there is worship also by the villagers. Why was this temple not restored ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA. —The temple has been renovated and the question of restoration will be sympathetically considered.

---

## QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

### (But not taken up)

### Land Darkhast Applications (Disposal)

*Q.*—574. Sri J. NARAYANAPPA (Chintamani—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of darkhast applications for lands given by Depressed Class people in Kolar District from 1st June 1953 up to date under the rules for the grant of lands to Harijans at a concession rate in Kolar District ;

(*b*) of them, (i) the number of cases in respect of which lands have been sanctioned, (ii) the number of cases that have been rejected without granting lands ; the reasons therefor ;

(*c*) the reason for the Special Revenue Inspectors for Depressed Classes not dealing with the land darkhast applications ?

*A.*—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) 361.

(*b*) (i) 162, (ii) 199.

The reasons for rejection are (i) insufficiency of gomal and (ii) applications being for reserved lands such as tank beds, date reserves, etc.

(*c*) The main purpose of appointing the special Revenue Inspectors is for amelioration work and the entrusting of this work to them would interfere with their work in addition to involving undue delays as it would be more difficult for the special Revenue Inspectors to deal with Darkhast of the whole taluk while it would be far easy to the Hobli Revenue Inspector to attend to this work as he has a smaller area and can dispose of these cases more expeditiously than a special Revenue Inspector with jurisdiction over the whole taluk.

---

### Grants to Palace Account in Mysore

*Q.*—934. Sri K. PRABHAKAR (Nelamangala—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the total amount of grants given by the Government under various heads to the Palace Account in Mysore for the last five years up-to-date ?

*A.*—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

Statement appended.